

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,078	09/25/2003	Robert Parlee	parlee01.005	parlee01.005 5824	
25247	7590 04/24/2006		EXAMINER		
GORDON E NELSON			KUHNS, A	KUHNS, ALLAN R	
PATENT ATT 57 CENTRAL	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PO BOX 782 ROWLEY, MA 01969			1732		
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\sim			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	L.			
Office Action Summary		10/671,078	PARLEE, ROBERT				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Allan Kuhns	1732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	•			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	oril 2006.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 17,20 and 23 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>20 and 23</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau		ن.				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	;a.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom rippiloduoli (i 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/671,078

Art Unit: 1732

1.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2.Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop (5,019,312) in view of Baron (4,954,209). Bishop discloses or suggests the basic claimed method of making lugs for joints in a bicycle frame made of composite fiber tubes including (1) making a lay-up of at least carbon or graphite fibers (column 3, lines 41-52) and a matrix material around the joint, (2) applying a mold to the tubes and laid up fibers and matrix material, and (3) curing or causing reaction and setting (column 5, line 11) of the lug in the mold. Bishop discloses at column 5, lines 4-10 that during the molding step, "layers 40 which face each other adjacent the central plane of the frame will be forced into firm contact with each other so that the will become permanently resin-bonded to each other, as well as to the successive other layers 40 on each side of the finished joint". Bishop appears not to teach a specific additional step to achieve the aforementioned forcing into firm contact, but Baron, at column 3, lines 40-50 notes that such forcing or compaction pressure may be achieved by lining a mold with a silicon material and causing the silicon material to expand to apply a compaction pressure to the lay-up. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate this teaching of Baron into the method of Bishop in order to efficiently provide a force or compaction pressure to force components of the lay-up into firm contact with each other.

Application/Control Number: 10/671.078 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

3. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to

5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

4-18-06

allen R. Kulm